

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,132	08/01/2003	Cherng-Chyi Han	HT02-017	3111	
7590 07/21/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. 28 DAVIS AV			BLOUIN, MARK S		
	SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2653		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/633,132	HAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Blouin	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEC	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
,	action is non-final.					
• • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive n (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/29/03</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/633,132

Art Unit: 2653

Detailed Action

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Hsu et al (USPN 6,693,769).
- Regarding Claims 1 and 5, Hsu et al shows (Figs. 10 and 11), an inductive-type magnetic write head with a two-element coil and reduced coil resistance comprising a lower magnetic pole (92) formed of magnetic material, a pole tip (202) formed of magnetic material on a front portion of the lower magnetic pole and extending vertically above the pole, a back-gap (204) element formed of magnetic material on a rear portion of the lower magnetic pole and extending vertically above the pole, an upper magnetic pole (232) formed of magnetic material and extending horizontally between the back-gap element and the pole tip, the upper pole having a rear portion contacting an upper surface of the back-gap element and a front portion extending over the pole tip and separated from the pole tip by a write gap layer (214), a dual element conducting coil (206,230) formed above the lower magnetic pole, between the pole tip and the back-gap element and below the write gap layer, the coil comprising two horizontal planar coil elements vertically disposed above each other and vertically separated from each other by an

Application/Control Number: 10/633,132

Art Unit: 2653

insulating patch layer (214) of minimal thickness and wherein the windings of the lower coil element have a greater cross-sectional area than the windings of the upper coil element to provide a reduced coil resistance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2-4,6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al (USPN 6,693,769) in view of Kamijima et al (USPN 6,525,901).
- 6. Regarding Claims 2-4,6, and 7, Hsu et al shows all the features described, *supra*, but does not show coil elements separated by an alumina patch layer of thickness between approximately 1000 and 3000 angstroms, wherein the height of the coil windings of the lower coil are between approximately 1.0 and 2.5 microns, and the width of both the first and second coil element windings is between approximately 0.5 and 2.0 microns.

Kamijima et al shows (Figures 2-6) coil elements separated by an alumina (Al_20_3) patch layer of thickness between approximately 1000 and 3000 angstroms (Column 6, lines 22-39 – general thickness is 3-20 μ m and the thickness of a coil is 2-5 μ m, so if a coil is 3 μ m thick and the insulation is 3.1 μ m thick, the difference is .1 μ m (1000 angstroms between the top of the bottom coil and the bottom of the top coil), wherein the height of the coil windings of the lower coil are between approximately 1.0 and 2.5 microns (Column 6, line 24), and the width of both the first

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/633,132

Art Unit: 2653

and second coil element windings is between approximately 0.5 and 2.0 microns (Figure 6; W ranges from 0.5 to 3.0 μm) .

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the coil arrangement in Hsu et al as taught by Kamijima et al. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use the coil arrangement in Hsu et al as taught by Kamijima et al in order to narrow track width without increasing electrical resistance and improving write head areal density.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Blouin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7583. The examiner can normally be reached M-F, 6:00 am – 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached at (571) 272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of general nature or relating to the status of application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Mark Blouin
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2653
July 15, 2005

A. J. HEINZ PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP AS A. U. 2653